

REMARKS


The Office Action dated December 6, 2007 has been read and carefully considered and the present amendment submitted in order to clarify the claim language in order to overcome objections to that language by the Examiner.

Specifically, claims 1-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specific examples of the indefiniteness were directed to claims 1, 8, 9, 11, 15, 19 and 20. Accordingly, each of those claims has been herein amended. In each instance, the claims have been amended in a manner that is believed to have cleared up the indefiniteness. The issue of the "the stud conductor" in claims 8 and 19 are submitted as clear since in each instance, the "body" referred to in claims 7 and 17 means a "terminal body".

Accordingly, it is submitted that all of the claims are now in an allowable form and an allowance of the present patent application is respectful solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



DAVID A. JACKSON
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 26,742

KLAUBER & JACKSON L.L.C.
411 Hackensack Avenue
Hackensack, NJ 07601
(201) 487-5800